www.rsc.org/chemcomm

ChemComm

Homochiral 3D open frameworks assembled from 1- and 2-D coordination polymers[†]

Yong Cui, Helen L. Ngo, Peter S. White and Wenbin Lin*

Department of Chemistry, CB#3290, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, NC 27599, USA. E-mail: wlin@unc.edu

Received (in Columbia, MO, USA) 30th November 2002, Accepted 26th February 2003 First published as an Advance Article on the web 18th March 2003

Homochiral 3D open frameworks have been assembled from 1- and 2-D coordination structures via hydrogen bonding and are stable towards the removal of included guest molecules.

The design of metal-organic coordination frameworks has been extensively studied in recent year because of their intriguing structures and, more importantly, their potential applications as functional materials.¹ A particularly interesting and challenging area in this field is the synthesis of homochiral porous metalorganic networks and exploration of their potential applications in heterogeneous asymmetric catalysis and enantioselective separations.^{2,3} Although chiral coordination networks can be constructed using several different strategies,⁴ we believe that the combination of rigid chiral linkers and metal nodes or metal-organic secondary building units (SBUs) represent the most straightforward and reliable approach toward homochiral solids. We have recently demonstrated the synthesis of homochiral solids based on multitopic rigid linkers derived from axially chiral 1,1'-bi-2-naphthol and their potential applications in enantioselective processes.^{2b-c} Herein we wish to report the assembly of homochiral 3D open frameworks from 1- and 2-D coordination polymers via hydrogen bonding.

 $[Cu_2(BDA)_2(H_2O)_2]$ ·2MeOH·4H₂O, **1**, was obtained in 60% yield by slow diffusion of N,N'-dimethylaniline into a mixture of copper nitrate, (S)-2,2'-dihydroxy-1,1'-binaphthalene-6,6'dicarboxylic acid (H₂BDA),⁵ methanol and water at r.t. [HNMeEt₂]₂[Zn(BDA)₂]·2MeOH·4H₂O, 2, was obtained in 36% yield by treating zinc nitrate and (S)-H₂BDA in a mixture of N,N'-diethylformamide (DEF), MeOH and N,N'-dimethylaniline at 50 °C. Both 1 and 2 are insoluble in water and common organic solvents. The IR spectra of 1 and 2 exhibit bands characteristic of carboxylate groups at 1650–1320 cm⁻¹; the very strong and broad peaks around 3430 cm⁻¹are indicative of strong hydrogen bonds in their solid structures. Thermogravimetric analyses show that 1 lost 12.5% of total weight by 165 °C, corresponding to the loss of two MeOH and four water guest molecules per formula unit (expected 13.0%). 2 lost 12.3% of total weight by 192 °C, corresponding to the loss of four water and two methanol guest molecules (expected 12.1%). The formulations of 1 and 2 are also supported by microanalysis results.^{\ddagger} We have also prepared compounds 1 and 2 using (R)- H_2BDA . Solid-state CD spectra of the products made from (R)and (S)-H₂DBA are exact mirror image of each other, and conclusively demonstrate the enantiomeric nature of 1 and 2 made from (R)- and (S)-H₂BDA.



† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: synthesis of compounds 1 and 2, removal and reintroduction of guest molecules, and Figs. S1–S6. See http://www.rsc.org/suppdata/cc/b2/b211916a/

A single-crystal X-ray analysis of 1 reveals a H-bonded 3D chiral open framework assembled from 1D coordination polymer that is constructed from BDA-bridged Cu₂(carboxylate)₄ paddle-wheels (Fig 1).§ 1 crystallizes in the chiral space group I222, and contains one Cu center, one half BDA group, one coordinated water and one methanol molecule and two water guest molecules in the asymmetric unit. Four crystallographically equivalent carboxylate groups of four BDA ligands bridge two Cu(II) centers to form a paddle-wheel structure with a Cu…Cu distance of 2.66(1) Å. Each Cu is also coordinated to a terminal water molecule to result in a slightly distorted square pyramidal geometry. Adjacent Cu2(carboxylate)4 paddlewheels are doubly bridged by the binaphthyl backbones of two BDA groups to form an infinite 1-D chain running along the baxis. The naphthyl subunits of each BDA ligand have a dihedral angle of 92.6°. A space-filling model indicates that the formation of cavities of ~ 6.2×6.2 Å within each Cu₄(BDA)₂ macrocycle.

The OH groups of the BDA ligands of the polymeric chains are pointing outward and well positioned to form interchain Hbonds with the carboxylate oxygen atoms. Indeed, the hydroxyl oxygen atoms and two coordinated carboxylate oxygen atoms of each BDA ligand form interchain H-bonds (O2…O7, 3.00 Å); each coordination polymer chain is thus linked to four adjacent chains. Interestingly, interchain H-bonds have steered the stacking of all $Cu_4(BDA)_2$ metallocycles on top of each



Fig. 1 Top, 1D chain of **1** composed of $Cu_2(carboxylate)_4$ paddle-wheels. Middle, a space-filling model of the 1D chain. Bottom, a view slightly off the *a* axis showing the 3-D H-bonded open framework.

994

ö

other to form a 3D chiral open framework. The open channels constitute ~48.8% (1492.6 Å³) of the crystal volume (PLATON) and are occupied by methanol and water guest molecules.⁶

Compound **2** adopts a H-bonded 3D chiral open framework assembled from 2D rhombohedral grids and crystallizes in the chiral space group $P4_22_12$ (Fig 2). The asymmetric unit of **2** consists of one half [HMeNEt₂] cation, one fourth Zn center, one half BDA ligand, one half methanol and one water guest molecule. The Zn center coordinates to four oxygen atoms of four monodentate carboxylate groups from four different BDA ligands to adopt a distorted tetrahedral geometry. The naphthyl subunits of BDA have a dihedral angle of 77.1°, while the Zn…Zn distance in the 2D grid is 11.738(2) Å. The BDA ligands link adjacent Zn centers to form a corrugated 2D grid.

Interestingly, all OH groups of the BDA ligands are pointing towards the opposite sides of the 2D grids, and are positioned to form a H-bonded network. 2D grids in **2** stack on top of each other in a staggered arrangement along the *c*-axis (Fig 2). The adjacent layers are shifted by 1/2a and 1/2b along the *a* and *b* axis, respectively, and have a layer-to-layer separation of 7.17 Å. Such a staggered arrangement orients uncoordinated carboxylate O atoms and OH group of BDA ligands from adjacent



Fig. 2 Top, a view of the 2D rhombohedral grid of 2 down the c axis. Middle, 3D H-bonded open framework of 2 as viewed down the b axis. Bottom, a space-filling model of 2 as viewed down the a axis.

layers close to each other. As a result, the OH groups and uncoordinated carboxylate oxygen atoms from adjacent layers form strong interlayer H-bonds with an O2···O2 distance of 2.622(1) Å. **2** thus adopts an interesting homochiral 3D open framework structure with open channels running along both *a*and *b*-axes. These open channels constitute ~53.4% (1650 Å³) of the crystal volume (PLATON) and are occupied by two [HMeNEt₂]⁺ counter ions and four water and two methanol guest molecules per formula unit.

The ability of the 2,2'-dihydroxyl groups of BDA ligands in forming directional H-bonds undoubtedly steers the formation of 3D open frameworks of 1 and 2. More importantly, the Hbonds also stabilize open framework structures to allow for the hierarchical assembly of chiral solids that are stable towards the removal of their included guest molecules. MeOH and water guest molecules of 1 and 2 can be removed in vacuo, and PXRDs of evacuated solids of 1 and 2 are similar to those of their pristine solids. Interestingly, evacuated solids of 1 and 2 readily adsorb expected amounts of guest molecules upon exposure to their vapors, which provides further evidence for their framework stability. Although coordination bonds1 or Hbonds alone7 have been extensively used to construct extended networks, this work represents one of the few attempts in designing novel materials using a combination of coordination and H-bonds.8

In summary, we have synthesized homochiral 3D open frameworks via interchain or interlayer H-bonds of 1D or 2D polymeric coordination structures. Such 3D networks based on both coordination and H-bonds are stable towards the removal of their included guest molecules.

We acknowledge financial support from NSF (CHE-0208930). W. L. is an Alfred P. Sloan Fellow, an Arnold and Mabel Beckman Young Investigator, a Cottrell Scholar of Research Corp, and a Camille Dreyfus Teacher-Scholar.

Notes and references

 \ddagger Anal. calc. for C4₆H44Cu₂O₂₀, **1** : C, 52.92; H, 4.25; N, 0%. Found: C, 52.02; H, 4.45; N, 0.18%. Anal. calc. for C56H68ZnN₂O₁₈, **2**: C, 59.92; H, 6.11; N, 2.50%. Found: C, 58.89; H, 5.87; N 2.43%.

§ X-ray single-crystal diffraction data for 1 and 2 were collected on a Siemens SMART CCD diffractometer. Crystal data for 1: orthorhombic, space group *I*222, *a* = 10.92(3), *b* = 14.03(4), *c* = 19.96(6) Å, *U* = 3060(16) Å³, *Z* = 2, ρ_{calcd} = 1.13 g·cm⁻³. Least-squares refinement based on 1256 reflections with *I* > 20(*I*) and 151 parameters led to convergence, with a final R1 = 0.119, wR2 = 0.263, and GOF = 1.36. Flack parameter = 0.15(10). Crystal data for **2**: tetragonal, space group *P*4₂2₁2, *a* = 11.739(2), *b* = 11.739(2), *c* = 22.408(5) Å, *U* = 3087.6(9) Å³, *Z* = 2, ρ_{calcd} = 1.19 g·cm⁻³. Least-squares refinement based on 1540 reflections with *I* > 2*a*(*I*) and 164 parameters led to convergence, with a final R1 = 0.098, wR2 = 0.248, and GOF = 1.02. Flack parameter = -0.01(7). CCDC 199492 and 199493. See http://www.rsc.org/suppdata/cc/b2/b211916a/ for crystallographic data in .cif or other electronic format.

- (a) P. J. Hagrman, D. Hagrman and J. Zubieta, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 1999, **38**, 2638–2684; (b) S. R. Batten and R. Robson, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 1998, **37**, 1461; (c) M. Eddaoudi, D. B. Moler, H. Li, B. Chen, T. M. Reineke, M. O'Keeffe and O. M. Yaghi, Acc. Chem. Res., 2001, **34**, 319.
- 2 (a) J. S. Seo, D. Whang, H. Lee, S. L. Jun, J. Oh, Y. J. Jeon and K. Kim, *Nature*, 2000, **404**, 982; (b) H. L. Ngo and W. Lin, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2002, **124**, 14298; (c) Y. Cui, O. R. Evans, H. L. Ngo, P. S. White and W. Lin, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2002, **41**, 1159–1162.
- 3 I. Ojima, Ed. Catalytic Asymmetric Synthesis, VCH: New York, 1993.
- 4 B. Moulton and M. J. Zaworotko, Chem. Rev., 2001, 101, 1629.
- 5 Y. Cui, H. L. Ngo and W. Lin, Inorg. Chem., 2002, 41, 5940.
- 6 It is highly likely that some of the guest molecules have evaporated prior to X-ray and other analyses and are not accounted for in the formula of **1**.
- 7 (a) O. Félix, M. W. Hosseini, A. De Cian and J. Fischer, *Chem. Commun.*, 2000, 281–282; (b) K. T. Holman, A. M. Pivovar and M. D. Ward, *Science*, 2001, **294**, 1907–1911.
- 8 (a) J. C. MacDonald, P. C. Dorrestein, M. M. Pilley, M. M. Foote, J. L. Lundburg, R. W. Henning, A. J. Shultz and J. L. Manson, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2000, **122**, 11692–11702; (b) J. C. Noveron, M. S. Lah, R. E. Del Sesto, A. M. Arif, J. S. Miller and P. J. Stang, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2002, **124**, 6613–6625.